

# Environmental Impact Assessment [version 1.0]

| Proposal title: Council Tax Reduction                            |                                  |                    |  |  |  |
|--|----------------------------------|--------------------|--|--|--|
| Project stage and type: <ul> <li>Initial Idea Mandate</li> </ul> | □ Outline Business Case [        | Full Business Case |  |  |  |
| ☑ Policy 	☐ Strategy 	☐ Function 	☐ Service                      | □ New                            | 🛛 Changing         |  |  |  |
| Other [please state]   | $\Box$ Already exists / review   |                    |  |  |  |
| Directorate: Finance   | Lead Officer name: Denise Murray |                    |  |  |  |
| Service Area: Revenues and Benefits                              | Lead Officer role: Director – Fi | nance              |  |  |  |

# Step 1: What do we want to do?

The purpose of this Environmental Impact Assessment is to help you develop your proposal in a way that is compliant with the council's policies and supports the council's strategic objectives under the <u>One City Climate</u> <u>Strategy</u>, the <u>One City Ecological Emergency Strategy</u> and the latest <u>Corporate Strategy</u>.

This assessment should be started at the beginning of the project proposal process by someone with a good knowledge of the project, the service area that will deliver it, and sufficient influence over the proposal to make changes as needed.

It is good practice to take a team approach to completing the Environmental Impact Assessment. See further <u>guidance</u> on completing this document. Please email <u>environmental.performance@bristol.gov.uk</u> early for advice and feedback.

## 1.1 What are the aims and objectives/purpose of this proposal?

Briefly explain the purpose of the proposal and why it is needed. Please use <u>plain English</u>, avoiding jargon and acronyms.

The council is reviewing its Council Tax Reduction (CTR) scheme that currently supports 33,000 households who are on a low income with the cost of their council tax. Almost 23,000 are of working age and the remainder are pension age. The current scheme is a means tested discount and pension age recipients are protected from any reduction in support under a scheme that is set out in national regulations. We have fully funded our working age scheme since CTR was introduced in 2013 and this has resulted in working age recipients getting similar levels of support to pension age recipients (up to 100% of their council tax liability).

We currently spend £43.4million on the scheme (£30.0million working age, £13.4million pension age). The forecast cost of the scheme in 2024/25 is £45.7million if there is no change in caseload, or £43.7million if the caseload follows its current downward trend.

The council can make changes to its working age scheme that may reduce the level of discount that is paid (such as introducing a minimum charge for all working age households). This is subject to the recent consultation process and any changes to the scheme must be agreed by Full Council.

The Cabinet and Full Council report provides feedback following an extended period of consultation on a variety of options and possible additional proposals, each having potential impacts on low income working age households and the council's finances. Any final decision on next years scheme needs to be made by Full Council, noting the results from the consultation process.

## 1.2 Will the proposal have an environmental impact?

Could the proposal have either a positive or negative effects for the environment now or in the future? If 'No' explain why you are sure there will be no environmental impact, then skip steps 2-3 and request review by sending this form to <u>environmental.performance@bristol.gov.uk</u>

If 'Yes' complete the rest of this assessment.

|  | Yes | 🖾 No | [please select] |
|--|-----|------|-----------------|
|--|-----|------|-----------------|

There are no known impacts on the environment, or that do not support the council's One City Climate Strategy, One City Ecological Emergency Strategy, and Corporate Strategy.

# **1.3** If the proposal is part of an options appraisal, has the environmental impact of each option been assessed and included in the recommendation-making process?

If 'Yes' please ensure that the details of the environmental impacts of each option are made clear in the pros and cons section of the <u>project management options appraisal document</u>.

| 🗌 🗆 Yes | 🗌 No | oxtimes Not applicable | [please select] |  |
|---------|------|------------------------|-----------------|--|
|---------|------|------------------------|-----------------|--|

If 'No' explain why environmental impacts have not been considered as part of the options appraisal process.

#### Step 2: What kinds of environmental impacts might the project have?

Analysis of impacts must be rigorous. Please demonstrate your analysis of any impacts of the proposal in this section, referring to evidence you have gathered. See detailed <u>guidance documents</u> for advice on identifying potential impacts.

#### Does the proposal create any benefits for the environment, or have any adverse impacts?

Outline any potential benefits of the proposal and how they can be maximised. Identify how the proposal will support our corporate environmental objectives and the wider <u>One City Climate and Ecological Emergency</u> <u>strategies</u>.

Consider how the proposal creates environmental impacts in the following categories, both now and in the future. **Reasonable efforts should be made to quantify stated benefit or adverse impacts wherever possible.** 

Where the proposal is likely to have a beneficial impact, consider what actions would enhance those impacts. Where the proposal is likely to have a harmful impact, consider whether actions would mitigate these impacts.

Enhancements or mitigation actions are only required when there is a likely impact identified. Remember that where enhancements or mitigation actions are listed, they should be assigned to staff and appropriately resourced.

| GENERAL COMMENTS (highlig                                  | ght any potent | ial issues that might impact all or many categories) |
|--|----------------|--|
| ENV1 Carbon neutral:                                       |                |  |
| Emissions of climate<br>changing gases                     |                |  |
| BCC has committed to<br>achieving net zero emissions       | Benefits       |  |
| for its direct activities by 2025, and to support the city |                |  |

|  |                       | 1           |                  |               |          |
|--|-----------------------|-------------|------------------|---------------|----------|
| in achieving net zero by                         |                       |             |                  |               |          |
| 2030.  |                       |             |                  |               |          |
|  |                       |             |                  |               |          |
| Will the proposal involve                        | Enhancing             |             |                  |               |          |
| transport, or the use of                         | actions               |             |                  |               |          |
| energy in buildings? Will the                    |                       |             |                  |               |          |
| proposal involve the                             |                       |             |                  |               |          |
| purchase of goods or                             |                       |             |                  |               |          |
| services? If the answer is yes                   | Persistence           | of effects: | □ 1 year or less | 🗌 1 – 5 years | 5+ years |
| to either of these questions,                    |                       |             |                  |               |          |
| there will be a carbon                           |                       |             |                  |               |          |
| impact.  | Adverse               |             |                  |               |          |
|  | impacts               |             |                  |               |          |
| Consider the scale and                           |                       |             |                  |               |          |
| timeframe of the impact,                         |                       |             |                  |               |          |
| particularly if the proposal                     |                       |             |                  |               |          |
| will lead to ongoing                             |                       |             |                  |               |          |
| emissions beyond the 2025                        |                       |             |                  |               |          |
| and 2030 target dates.                           | Mitigating<br>actions |             |                  |               |          |
| m all search the search                          | actions               |             |                  |               |          |
| Further guidance                                 |                       |             |                  |               |          |
| 🗌 No impact                                      |                       |             |                  |               |          |
|  | Persistence           | of effects: | 1 year or less   | 🗌 1 – 5 years | 5+ years |
|  |                       | 1           |                  |               |          |
| ENV2 Ecological recovery:                        |                       |             |                  |               |          |
| Wildlife and habitats                            |                       |             |                  |               |          |
| BCC has committed to 30%                         | Benefits              |             |                  |               |          |
| of its land being managed                        |                       |             |                  |               |          |
| for nature and to halve its                      |                       |             |                  |               |          |
| use of pesticides by 2030.                       |                       |             |                  |               |          |
|  |                       |             |                  |               |          |
| Consider how your proposal                       |                       |             |                  |               |          |
| can support increased space                      | Enhancing             |             |                  |               |          |
| for nature, reduced use of                       | actions               |             |                  |               |          |
| pesticides, reduce pollution                     |                       |             |                  |               |          |
| to waterways, and reduce consumption of products | <b>.</b>              |             |                  |               |          |
| that undermine ecosystems                        | Persistence           | of effects: | 1 year or less   | 🗌 1 – 5 years | 5+ years |
| around the world.                                |                       |             |                  |               |          |
|  |                       |             |                  |               |          |
| If your proposal will directly                   | Adverse               |             |                  |               |          |
| lead to a reduction in habitat                   | impacts               |             |                  |               |          |
| within Bristol, then consider                    |                       |             |                  |               |          |
| how your proposed                                |                       |             |                  |               |          |
| mitigation can lead to a                         |                       |             |                  |               |          |
| biodiversity net gain. Be sure                   |                       |             |                  |               |          |
| to refer to quantifiable                         | Mitigating            |             |                  |               |          |
| changes wherever possible.                       | actions               |             |                  |               |          |
|  |                       |             |                  |               |          |
|  |                       |             |                  |               |          |
| Further guidance                                 |                       |             |                  |               |          |
| Further guidance                                 | Persistence           | of effects: | □ 1 year or less | □ 1 – 5 years | 5+ years |

I

| ENV3 A cleaner, low-waste<br>city: Consumption of<br>resources and generation of<br>waste  | Benefits   |             |                  |               |            |
|--|--|-------------|------------------|---------------|------------|
| Consider what resources will<br>be used as a result of the<br>proposal, how they can be<br>minimised or swapped for  | Enhancing<br>actions   |             |                  |               |            |
| less impactful ones, where<br>they will be sourced from,<br>and what will happen to any<br>waste generated   | Adverse<br>impacts   | of effects: | □ 1 year or less | □ 1 – 5 years | ☐ 5+ years |
| Further guidance   | Mitigating<br>actions  |             |                  |               |            |
|  | Persistence  | of effects: | □ 1 year or less | □ 1 – 5 years | □ 5+ years |
| ENV4 Climate resilience:   |  |             |                  |               |            |
| Bristol's resilience to the<br>effects of climate change<br>Bristol's climate is already   | Benefits   |             |                  |               |            |
|  | Benefits<br>Enhancing<br>actions   |             |                  |               |            |
| effects of climate change<br>Bristol's climate is already<br>changing, and increasingly<br>frequent instances of<br>extreme weather will<br>become more likely over<br>time.<br>Consider how the proposal  | Enhancing  | of effects: | □ 1 year or less | □ 1 – 5 years | 5+ years   |
| effects of climate change<br>Bristol's climate is already<br>changing, and increasingly<br>frequent instances of<br>extreme weather will<br>become more likely over<br>time.   | Enhancing<br>actions   | of effects: | □ 1 year or less | □ 1 – 5 years | □ 5+ years |
| effects of climate change<br>Bristol's climate is already<br>changing, and increasingly<br>frequent instances of<br>extreme weather will<br>become more likely over<br>time.<br>Consider how the proposal<br>will perform during periods<br>of extreme weather<br>(particularly heat and<br>flooding).<br>Consider if the proposal will  | Enhancing<br>actions<br>Persistence  | of effects: | □ 1 year or less | □ 1 – 5 years | □ 5+ years |
| effects of climate change<br>Bristol's climate is already<br>changing, and increasingly<br>frequent instances of<br>extreme weather will<br>become more likely over<br>time.<br>Consider how the proposal<br>will perform during periods<br>of extreme weather<br>(particularly heat and<br>flooding).<br>Consider if the proposal will<br>reduce or increase risk to<br>people and assets during<br>extreme weather events. | Enhancing<br>actions<br>Persistence  | of effects: | □ 1 year or less | □ 1 – 5 years | □ 5+ years |
| effects of climate change Bristol's climate is already changing, and increasingly frequent instances of extreme weather will become more likely over time. Consider how the proposal will perform during periods of extreme weather (particularly heat and flooding). Consider if the proposal will reduce or increase risk to people and assets during  | Enhancing<br>actions<br>Persistence of<br>Adverse<br>impacts<br>Mitigating |             | □ 1 year or less | □ 1 – 5 years | □ 5+ years |

| Statutory duty:<br>Prevention of Pollution to<br>air, water, or land   | Benefits                       |             |                  |               |            |
|--|--------------------------------|-------------|------------------|---------------|------------|
| Consider how the proposal will change the likelihood of  | Enhancing<br>actions           |             |                  |               |            |
| pollution occurring to air,<br>water, or land and what<br>steps will be taken to<br>prevent pollution occurring. | Persistence of Adverse impacts | of effects: | □ 1 year or less | □ 1 – 5 years | ☐ 5+ years |
| Further guidance   | Mitigating<br>actions          | f - ff - h  |                  |               |            |
|  | Persistence                    | of effects: | 1 year or less   | 🗌 1 – 5 years | 5+ years   |

# Step 3: Action Plan

Use this section summarise and assign responsibility for any actions you have identified to improve data, enhance beneficial, or mitigate negative impacts. Actions identified in section two can be grouped together if named responsibility is under the same person.

This action plan should be updated at each stage of the project. Please be aware that the Sustainable City and Climate Change Service may use this action plan as an audit checklist during the project's implementation or operation.

| Enhancing / mitigating action required | Responsible Officer | Timescale |
|--|---------------------|-----------|
|  |                     |           |
|  |                     |           |
|  |                     |           |
|  |                     |           |
|  |                     |           |
|  |                     |           |
|  |                     |           |

## Step 4: Review

The Sustainable City and Climate Change Service need at least five working days to comment and feedback on your impact assessment. Assessments should only be marked as reviewed when they provide sufficient information for decision-makers on the environmental impact of the proposal.

Please seek feedback and review by emailing <u>environmental.performance@bristol.gov.uk</u> before final submission of your decision pathway documentation<sup>1</sup>.

Where impacts identified in this assessment are deemed significant, they will be summarised here by the Sustainable City and Climate Change Service and must be included on the cover sheet of the decision pathway documentation.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Review by the Sustainable City and Climate Change Service confirms there is sufficient analysis for decision makers to consider the likely environmental impacts at this stage. This is not an endorsement or approval of the proposal.

# Summary of significant beneficial impacts and opportunities to support the Climate, Ecological and Corporate Strategies (ENV1,2,3,4):

NA

Summary of significant adverse impacts and how they can be mitigated: NA

| <b>Environmental Performance Team Reviewer:</b><br>Daniel Shelton | Submitting author: Matt Kendall |
|---|---------------------------------|
| Date: 07/11/23  | Date: 02/11/2023                |